



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/389,082	09/02/1999	STEPHEN PETER FITZGERALD	104161	4878
25944	7590	12/22/2003	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			CROSS, LATOYA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

628

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/389,082	Applicant(s) FITZGERALD ET AL.	
	Examiner LaToya I. Cross	Art Unit 1743	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-13 and 15-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-13 and 15-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' remarks filed on September 26, 2003 and entered as Paper No. 27. Claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15-21 are pending.

Withdrawal of Rejections from Previous Office Action

- The rejection of claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15-21 under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments that the notches of Besemer et al do not meet the claimed limitation of projections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1-3, 6-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westhall in view of US patent 4,828,386 to Matkovich et al.

Westhall discloses an apparatus for receiving and storing discs. The discs (3) have biologically active substances are bound it. The discs are equivalent to Applicants' chip. The discs are disposed in a tubular receiving element (2). The tubular receiving member is equivalent to Applicants' storage well. According figure 1 of the reference, the discs substantially covers the base of the tube, as recited in claim 3. With respect to claim 4, the spacer elements (4) help to retain the discs in the tube. With respect to claim 9, figure 1 of the reference appears to show the tube in the shape of a square. With respect to claim 10, the abstract of the reference teaches the tube being made of plastic. Figure 3 of the reference shows multiple tubes (1) arranged in a line on a flat retainer member (8).

Art Unit: 1743

Westhall differs from the instantly claimed invention in that there is no teaching of hot or cold formed projections for holding the chip within the well. Also, there is no teaching of the use of a plurality of stacking trays.

Matkovich et al teach a device have a plurality of storage well (20). In one embodiment of the reference, Matkovich et al teach a well having projections (72) on the inner surface of the wall of the well. The projection forms a ring around the inner circumference of the well.

Matkovich et al teaches that the projection serves as a retaining ring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate projections into the device of Westhall for aiding in retaining the discs in place, as taught by Matkovich et al.

With respect to the projections being "hot or cold formed", such limitation involves how to make the projection and is not a patentable limitation in claims directed to an apparatus.

With respect to the trays being arranged in a stack, such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow easier packaging of the wells and easier shipping.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicant's claimed invention is deemed to be obvious, within the meaning of 35 USC 103 in view of the teachings of Westhall and Besemer et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is (571) 272-1256.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

lic

December 15, 2003


Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700